Recovery Team Members

Scott Ballard
Illinois Department of Natural Resources, Office of Resource Conservation

Robert D. Bluett
Illinois Department of Natural Resources, Office of Resource Conservation

George A. Feldhamer
Southern Illinois University, Department of Zoology

Joseph A. Kath
Illinois Department of Natural Resources, Office of Resource Conservation

Glen W. Kruse
Illinois Department of Natural Resources, Office of Resource Conservation

Susan E. Lauzon
Illinois Endangered Species Protection Board

Jack R. Nawrot
Southern Illinois University, Cooperative Wildlife Research Laboratory

Jody P. Shimp
Illinois Department of Natural Resources, Office of Resource Conservation

Stephen P. Widowski
U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service

Edited by

Robert D. Bluett
Illinois Department of Natural Resources, Office of Resource Conservation

Printed by authority of the State of Illinois
April 2003

CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Eastern woodrats (*Neotoma floridana*) occur throughout much of the southeastern and south-central United States. Illinois occurs at the extreme northern periphery of *N. f. illinoensis*' range. As recently as the early 1900s, woodrats were found at numerous sites in Jackson, Union, Johnson, Pope, Gallatin, Alexander, and Hardin counties. Studies conducted during the 1990s documented the woodrat's presence at only 5 sites in Jackson and Union counties; these small, isolated populations had experienced significant inbreeding and loss of genetic variation.

Recovery of the eastern woodrat, currently classified as a state endangered species, is consistent with Objective 1.1.2.3 of the Department's Strategic Plan (Illinois Department of Natural Resources 2002) and it's legislatively mandated responsibility to "take all measures necessary for the conservation, distribution, introduction and restoration of birds and mammals" (520 Illinois Compiled Statutes 5/1.10). This plan advocates an overall goal of insuring the long-term viability of the eastern woodrat in Illinois by increasing genetic heterogeneity of extant populations, establishing populations at sites where woodrats occurred historically, monitoring population levels, and managing key habitats. Specific objectives and tasks proposed by the plan include:

Objective 1: Reclassify the eastern woodrat from state endangered to state threatened.

Objective 1 is met when a stable or increasing metapopulation of $\geq$50 individuals (fall estimate) has been established in an unoccupied part of the woodrat's historical range on the eastern part of the Shawnee Hills Natural Division (i.e., Johnson, Saline, Gallatin, Pope, or Hardin counties) and persisted $\geq$4 years after translocations have ceased.

Task 1.	Evaluate accessible sites where Nawrot and Klimstra (1976) found evidence of historical habitation by woodrats.
Task 2.	Identify additional sites with suitable habitat.
Task 3.	Classify sites according to their quality and spatial arrangement.
Task 4.	Seek public input.
Task 5.	Translocate woodrats to suitable but unoccupied habitats; identify successful, efficient protocols for releases.

Objective 2: Increase genetic heterogeneity of the Pine Hills metapopulation.

Objective 2 is met when woodrats are translocated successfully to Pine Hills from out-of-state sources or, if funding allows, studies document increases in indices of heterozygosity.

Task 6.	Release 10-15 woodrats per year for 2-3 years at Pine Hills.

Objective 3: Delist the eastern woodrat from state threatened status.

Objective 3 is met when (1) genetic heterogeneity of the Pine Hills metapopulation has increased, (2) a stable or increasing metapopulation has been documented at Pine Hills and associated sites for $\geq$4 consecutive years, (3) a stable or increasing metapopulation consisting of $\geq$50 individuals (fall estimate) has persisted on the eastern side of the Shawnee Hills Natural Division for $\geq$4 years

after translocations have ceased, and (4) ≥2 additional populations consisting of ≥30 individuals each have persisted ≥2 years after translocations have ceased.

Task 7. Release wild woodrats at >2 unoccupied sites that occur in likely corridors between the Pine Hills and Shawnee Hills.

Objective 4: Implement a long-term monitoring program.

Objective 4 is met when the Department of Natural Resources implements a long-term monitoring program capable of detecting significant changes in the woodrat's status.

Task 8. Identify efficient protocols for monitoring abundance.
Task 9. Train staff likely to participate in long-term monitoring efforts.
Task 10. Conduct surveys to monitor changes in the status of woodrats.

Objective 5: Evaluate and, where possible, implement appropriate habitat management practices.

Objective 5 is met when habitat management practices consistent with the woodrat's ecology and recovery are implemented as part of the Land and Resource Management Plan for the Shawnee National Forest (USDA-Forest Service; 1992 amended plan or later revisions).

Task 11. Evaluate responses of woodrats to selected silvicultural practices**.**
Task 12. Participate in planning activities that guide habitat management practices on the Shawnee National Forest.

BACKGROUND

by Robert D. Bluett and Jack R. Nawrot

Species description

Like other eastern woodrats, *Neotoma floridana illinoensis* is characterized by large, rounded and sparsely haired ears, large black eyes, soft pelage and a tail covered by short hairs (Rainey 1956). Backs and sides of adults are grayish-brown to cinnamon tinged with black in varying intensities; the face is noticeably grayer, especially in older animals (Howell 1910, Layne 1955, Rainey 1956). Subadults are gray on the entire upper surface (Layne 1955). All age classes have white or buff underparts (Hoffmeister 1989, Crim 1961). Brownish stains caused by secretions from sebaceous glands are usually apparent along the mid-ventral line of males when sexually active (Nawrot 1974). Females possess 2 pairs of inguinal mammae (Hoffmeister 1989).

Howell (1910) reported average measurements for 8 adults collected from Wolf Lake, Illinois: total length 430mm (range 390-435); tail 195mm (187-205); hind foot 38mm (36-40). Measurements reported by Crim (1961) were similar to those noted by Nawrot (1974) (Table 1). Nawrot (1974) reported an average weight of 295g (255-370) for adult (>1 yr) males and 278g (260-320) for adult females. Adults <1 year of age weighed 200-245g when captured during the fall, with an average of 240g for males and 215g for females (Nawrot 1974). Subadults weighed 170-200g when captured during October through December (Nawrot 1974). Hoffmeister (1989) noted a dental formula of 1/1, 0/0, 0/0, 3/3. Baker and Moscarello (1969) described the karyotype of *Neotoma* as 2N = 52; FN = 56; autosomal pairs are 1 large biarmed, 2 small biarmed and the remainder acrocentrics.

Table 1. External measurements of eastern woodrats captured in southern Illinois (Nawrot 1974).

	Mean length (mm) with range in parentheses			
Sample	Total	Tail	Hind foot	Ear
15 adult males	342 (315-362)	170 (154-182)	35 (31-38)	24 (20-26)
15 adult females	341 (303-367)	169 (148-185)	35 (34-37)	24 (21-26)
7 subadults (6 male; 1 female)	310 (243-346)	158 (143-172)	33 (31-36)	21 (19-23)

Taxonomy

Woodrats were first noted in North America by Peter Kalm in 1749 in the Blue Mountains of Pennsylvania (Murphy 1952). In 1818, Ord assigned a woodrat taken in Florida to the Old World family of rats and mice and designated it *Mus floridanus*. Thomas Say rediscovered the woodrat along the Mississippi River below St. Louis in 1819; in 1825 he and Ord designated the new genus *Neotoma* (Burroughs 1961). *N. f. illinoensis* was first recorded in Illinois by Howell (1910:28-29), who stated: "*The animals are common at Wolf Lake, inhabiting the high rocky*

bluffs which border the east side of the lake. They live in crevices and caves into which they carry large quantities of sticks, leaves and other rubbish."

Opinions regarding the subspecific taxonomy of *N. floridana* have varied over the years (Schwartz and Odum 1957, Hall and Kelson 1959, Hayes and Harrison 1992). Hall and Kelson's (1959) range map for 10 subspecies of *N. floridana* showed *N. f. illinoensis* occurring in the western half of southern Illinois and *N. f. magister* occurring in the eastern half of southern Illinois. Subsequent editions of this reference (Hall 1981) appeared to delineate the Wabash River as range limits for these subspecies. Schwartz and Odum (1957) also showed *N. f. illinoensis* occurring across southern Illinois and *N. f. magister* farther to the east. Nawrot (1974:59) agreed with this range delineation for the following reasons: "(1) The designation of a sub-species implies inherent geographic variation in morphology (Hall 1943, Lidicker 1962) and distinguishable morphological differences would not be expected to occur among woodrat populations occupying the Shawnee Hills; (2) Specimens of *N. f. magister* have never been collected in southeastern Illinois. The closest recorded occurrences of *N. f. magister* were in Harrison County, Indiana and Humphreys County, Tennessee (Schwartz and Odum 1957); and (3) Woodrat populations which once occupied the Shawnee Hills logically would have dispersed from the area of greatest population density and optimum habitat conditions located in Pine Hills, 65 miles west of the easternmost extent of the past range of *N. floridana* in southern Illinois."

N. f. magister is now considered a distinct species, *N. magister*, based on genetic (Hayes and Harrison 1992, Edwards and Bradley 2001) and morphological (Hayes and Richmond 1993) features which distinguish it from *N. floridana*. The historic range of *N. magister*, associated closely with the Appalachian Mountains, is thought to occur north of the Tennessee River to southern Indiana and southern Ohio northward to southeastern New York (Johnson et al. 1997).

Distribution and status

Eastern woodrats occur across much of the southeastern and south-central United States (Hall 1981). The subspecies *N. f. illinoensis* occurs in extreme southern Illinois, southeastern Missouri, western Kentucky and Tennessee, eastern Arkansas, most of Mississippi and Alabama, and the panhandle of Florida (Schwartz and Odum 1957, Hall 1981). Sites occupied by woodrats in southern Illinois are isolated from the nearest known populations 120.7 km (75 mi) to the west in Missouri and 136.8 km (85 mi) to the south in Tennessee (Nawrot and Spitzkeit 1986). *N. f. illinoensis* is classified as a state endangered species in Illinois, a species of concern in South Carolina, and a species in need of management in Tennessee; elsewhere its status is unknown or considered secure (Monty and Feldhamer 2002, Britzke 1998).

Skeletal remains establish a prehistoric (c.a. 8500-1500 BC) distribution in Illinois which included portions of Randolph and Monroe counties (Parmalee 1959, Parmalee et al. 1961), approximately 104.6 km (65 mi) north of where woodrats now exist in the state. Nawrot and Klimstra (1976) found direct evidence of past habitation by woodrats (e.g., skeletal remains, scats, houses) at 24 sites in Jackson, Union, Johnson, Pope, Gallatin, and Hardin counties, Illinois; they also documented extant populations at Pine Hills and Fountain Bluff (Fig. 1).

60 to 50-75 in 1973-74. As few as 15-30 individuals were thought to occur in 1985 (West 1986). However, a survey conducted in 1986 yielded an estimate of 50-75 individuals (Nawrot and Spitzkeit 1986).

Monty (1997) captured 283 woodrats at Pine Hills from 1993 through 1996; she estimated a minimum population of 101 individuals in 1994 and 60 during 1995 and 1996. Wagle (1996) captured 94 woodrats at Fountain Bluff from 1994 through 1995; the minimum number known alive each month varied from 8-30 individuals. Captures at Cripps Bend (n = 4), Horseshoe Bluff (n = 19) and Little Grand Canyon (n = 15) documented a more widespread distribution than thought previously (Monty et al. 1995, Wagle 1996, Monty 1997).

Possible reasons for reduced abundance of woodrats in Illinois and elsewhere

Nawrot and Klimstra (1976) speculated unusually harsh winters during 1912 and 1918 caused a large decline in numbers of woodrats at Pine Hills and extirpation of colonies in the Shawnee Hills where sites were isolated by man-made barriers to dispersal and weathered sandstone bluffs and outcrops provided relatively few secure locations for nests. Similarly, Fitch and Rainey (1956) noted reduced abundance of woodrats when extremely cold temperatures accompanied deep snowfall and ice.

Recent decreases in the distribution and abundance of *N. magister* along the northern and western peripheries of its range are well documented (Hayes 1990). *N. magister* is considered extirpated in Connecticut and New York and state endangered in Indiana, Maryland, New Jersey, and Ohio (Monty and Feldhamer 2002). Speculation about reasons for the widespread decline of *N. magister* is unsupported by direct evidence. Some hypotheses include: (1) fatal exposure as a secondary host to the raccoon roundworm, *Baylisascaris procyonis*, (2) loss of hard mast from chestnut blight (*Cryphonectria parasitica*), oak decline, gypsy moths (*Lymantria dispar*) and changes in succession that favor shade-tolerant species, (3) increases in abundance of avian and mammalian predators and (4) habitat fragmentation and associated factors (Poole 1940, Beans 1992, Balcom and Yahner 1996, Wright and Kirkland 1999). Destruction of habitat by residential development and Hurricane Andrew caused populations of the Key Largo woodrat (*N. f. smalli*) to decline enough to warrant its status as a federally endangered species (Monty and Feldhamer 2002).

Life history

Habitat

At the range-wide scale, *N. floridana* is a habitat generalist; it occurs in lowland hardwood forests (Neal 1967), coastal plains (Cross 1955), swamps (Hamilton 1953), and grasslands (Wiley 1971). At the local scale, its needs are more specific. Nearly all Illinois records are associated with limestone bluffs of the Mississippi River and sandstone outcrops in the Shawnee Hills (Nawrot and Klimstra 1976). The most limiting resource appears to be shelter such as vertical and horizontal fissures, caves or cave-like depressions, and piles of boulders at the base of rock formations (Crim 1961). Woodrats occasionally construct nests in abandoned buildings and at the base of trees near rock formations (Crim 1961).

Located in the southern section of the Ozark Division (Schwegman 1973), Pine Hills is characterized by a north-south oriented expanse of Devonian age Bailey limestone bluffs that rise abruptly from the Mississippi River bottoms (Weller and Ekblaw 1940). This feature stretches nearly 5 km (8 mi) from the northwest quarter of Section 3, T12S R3W in Union County to the southwest quarter of Section 27, T10S R3W in Jackson County (Nawrot 1974); smooth vertical faces or rough broken walls attain a height of 48.8 m (160 ft) in some places and average 24.4 m (80 ft) in height (Bassett 1925, Weller and Ekblaw 1940). LaRue Swamp borders the northern bluffs while Otter Pond and Wolf Lake, remnants of an old channel of the Big Muddy River, border the southern end (Mohlenbrock 1959).

Fountain Bluff rises 61 m (200 ft) above the Mississippi River as an isolated erosional remnant that is approximately 4.8 km (3.5 mi) long and nearly 2.4 km (1.5 mi) wide (Voigt and Mohlenbrock 1964). The west face of the bluff, which is bordered by the Mississippi River along most of its base, is essentially smooth with some tumbled boulders at the base; the east side, which has only occasional outcrops and a much more gradual slope, is bordered by State Highway 3 and intensively cultivated floodplains (Nawrot 1974). Horseshoe Bluff lies directly east of the Big Muddy River and is composed of relatively unresistant upper Mississippian sandstones forming smooth hillsides and few outcrops (Poor 1925). The west-facing, smooth, vertical bluffs rise to a height of nearly 46 m (150 ft) in some places; many large, fallen boulders occur at the base (Nawrot 1974).

Plant communities associated with Pine Hills and Fountain Bluff include lowland, mid-slope, and upland forests. Beech and tulip poplar are often the lowland canopy species in association with sweetgum, chinquapin oak, sugar maple, shagbark hickory, and ashes (Ashby and Kelting 1963, Voigt and Mohlenbrock 1964). Trees and shrubs characteristic of the lowland forest understory include pawpaw, spice bush, redbud, bladdernut, service berry, flowering dogwood, poison ivy, and wild grape.

Dominant trees of midslope include bitternut hickory, black oak, red oak, and white oak; white oak and post oak are indicative of the upland forest community (Voigt and Mohlenbrock 1964). Xeric south- and west-facing slopes and ridges may contain red cedar, blackjack oak, and yellow pine, which is distributed sparsely along the upper chert outcrop (Ashby and Kelting 1963). Understory vegetation of the midslope and ridge communities includes many of those found on the lower slope and hop hornbeam, New Jersey tea, goat's rue, and a characteristic shrub layer of farkleberry and wild azalea on cherty upper slopes (Ashby and Kelting 1963). Honey locust and Kentucky coffee tree are not as abundant as oaks and hickories but they provide an important food source when found near active woodrat sites. Hill prairies are used by a small number of woodrats inhabiting the grassy upper slopes of Pine Hills; characteristic vegetation includes little bluestem, side oats gramma, big bluestem, and Indian grass (Nawrot 1974).

Food habits

Woodrats are considered a generalist herbivore but they consume animal matter on rare occasions (Rainey 1956, Nawrot 1974, Clark et al. 1990, Williams 2000). In southern Illinois, woodrats cache foods during August through October for consumption during winter months (Crim 1961). Items found in caches tend to be less perishable and more nutritious than foods consumed at other

times of the year (Reichman 1988, Post 1993). Characteristics of caches vary little among sex and age groups (Post 1993). When open wa981 0 0 1 534 744.o71 1 5344 744.unvvvl1988,w d9(a)3(r)-(wa981

were active.

Individual home ranges sometimes overlap (Lay and Baker 1938) but spacing is maintained through mutual intolerance (Fitch and Rainey 1956, Wiley 1971). In northern California, home ranges of *N. fuscipes* overlapped less among adult males (15%) than females (25%); those of males and females overlapped by 28% except during the reproductive season (57%). Crim (1961) reported an average distance of 39 m (127 ft) between adjacent sites of activity at Pine Hills; nearly 38% were <15 m (50 ft) apart whereas 29% were 15-30 m (50-100 ft) apart.

Goertz (1970) reported an average home range size of 0.26 ha (0.64 acres) for males and 0.17 ha (0.41 acres) for females; the maximum home range length was 62.5 m (205 ft) for his study area in Oklahoma. Tate (1970) calculated the mean home range area of 8 adult woodrats using 3 different techniques; estimates varied from 260 m^2 (0.06 acres) for the modified minimum area method to 2,127 m^2 (0.53 acres) for the circular range method. In Missouri, home ranges of males varied from 500-8,500 m^2 (0.12-2.10 acres) while those of females varied from 1,000-3,000 m^2 (0.25-0.74 acres); home range estimates were 95% minimum convex polygons as determined by flourescent pigment tracking (Britzke 1998).

Clark and Clark (1994) noted movements of >150 m (492 ft) in Oklahoma. In Kansas, most movements occurred within 22.9 m (75 ft) of the house; the average maximum distance between successive points of capture was 105 m (345 ft) for 27 adult males and 44 m (143 ft) for 39 adult and subadult females (Fitch and Rainey 1956). Maximum nightly distance traveled from the den averaged 151 m (495 ft) with a range of 29-704 m (95-2,310 ft) for 34 Allegheny woodrats monitored in West Virginia (Castleberry et al. 2001).

At Pine Hills, successive recaptures of 41 individuals occurred 0-87 m (0-285 ft) apart with an

late summer or autumn of the same year (Rainey 1956, Nawrot 1974). Most litters are born during May and early June after a gestation period of approximately 33 to 41 days (Rainey 1956, Nawrot 1974). Nawrot (1974) and Monty (1997) reported evidence of late or second litters in Illinois but believed most females produce only 1 litter per year. In Missouri, woodrats produce 2-3 litters per year (Schwartz and Schwartz 1981). Although based on a small sample size (n = 14), the number of young per litter in Illinois (range 2-5, $\bar{x}$ = 3.4, Nawrot 1974) is similar to that reported for other portions of the species' range (range 1-6, $\bar{x}$ = 2.7, Rainey 1956; range 2-7, $\bar{x}$ = 3.2, Goertz 1970).

Young are born blind, nearly naked, and helpless (Layne 1955). The incisors of the young are adapted for attachment to the nipples of the mother (Hamilton 1953); nursing young often remain fixed to the female when she is forced to leave the nest (Nawrot 1974). The young are well furred by the time their eyes open at 15 days of age (Layne 1955), weaned at about 4 weeks of age (Hamilton 1953, Clarke 1973), fully independent by about 10 weeks of age (Layne 1955), and disperse soon after (Post 1999). Juveniles attain full adult body mass at about 8 months of age (Fitch and Rainey 1956).

Demographics

During November, Nawrot (1974) captured slightly more males (52%) than females at Pine Hills. Monty (1997) found nearly even sex ratios for juveniles and adults while that for subadults favored females; females also comprised a greater proportion (58%) of the population at Pine Hills when all age classes were combined (n = 283). The sex ratio at Fountain Bluff was approximately 1:1 for all age classes combined (Wagle 1996). Sex ratios reported for other parts of the species' range vary from near equality (Pearson 1952, Goertz 1970, McMurry et al. 1993) to a preponderance of males (55.3%) (Rainey 1956).

Age ratios vary seasonally (Monty 1997). Nawrot (1974) reported 80% of woodrats captured at Pine Hills in November were adults; the remaining 20% was comprised of 7 subadults and 1 juvenile. He (Nawrot 1974) also reported unpublished data from 1959 that showed 69% adults, 28% subadults and 3% juveniles for a fall population of $\geq$154 woodrats at Pine Hills. A large proportion of woodrats captured at Pine Hills during July 1993 through August 1994 (n = 135) were adults (50.4%); subadults comprised 28.1% of captures while juveniles comprised 21.5% (Monty et al. 1995). At Fountain Bluff, Wagle (1996) captured 70 adults (74.5%), 22 subadults (23.4%) and 2 juveniles (2.1%). Age ratios might have been biased because small juveniles (<100 g) do not venture far from nests and, when captured, sometimes escape through the mesh of standard livetraps (Wagle 1996, Monty 1997). However, dispersal of young animals from the study area into surrounding suboptimal habitats probably accounted for relatively low recruitment of woodrats at Pine Hills and Fountain Bluff (Wagle 1996, Monty 1997).

The proportion of individuals that remained at Pine Hills $\geq$1 year after their initial capture (23%; Monty 1997) was greater than that reported for Kansas (4.3%; Fitch and Rainey 1956) and Oklahoma (~5%; Goertz 1970). On average, the 50 woodrats captured $\geq$2 times by Goertz (1970) disappeared approximately 63 days after their initial capture (47 days for 28 males and 82 days for 22 females). In Virginia, 19% of juvenile woodrats (*N. magister*) survived from 1 calendar year to the next (i.e., survived overwinter); juvenile males were less likely to be

recaptured (10%) than juvenile females (Mengak 2002). For juvenile males, 23 of 39 (59%) were

Shawnee National Forest.

Crim (1961) listed 21 potential predators of woodrats in southern Illinois but he considered snakes (*Coluber constrictor, Elaphe obsoleta, Agkistrodon piscivorous, A. contortrix, Crotalus horridus*) the greatest threat because they could enter woodrat houses easily. Fitch and Rainey (1956) believed the woodrat was an important prey species of the timber rattlesnake. However, Perez et al (1978) reported evidence of natural resistance to rattlesnake (*C. atrox*) venom in *N. micropus.*

Management

Monitoring

Studies conducted in the western United States documented linear relationships between counts of active woodrat (*N. fuscipes*) houses along line or belt transects and population estimates derived from mark-recapture data (Willy 1992, Hamm 1995, Vreeland and Tietje 1999, Hamm et al. 2002). However, line or belt transects are probably a poor choice for monitoring woodrats in

immediately covering rock outcrops and on 1 adjacent side, (2) provide a forested buffer between rock outcrops and timber harvests, and (3) promote growth of mast-producing trees and understory vegetation. In less intensively managed forests (i.e., those with little or no commercial timber harvest), measures such as crop tree release and stand thinning are recommended because these practices help to stimulate acorn production and maintain oaks as dominant species (Castleberry et al. 2002). Nawrot (1974) found hill prairies represented an important habitat type for a small number of woodrat nests located on the grassy upper slopes of Pine Hills. Therefore, practices which seek to maintain these habitats are probably appropriate.

Translocation

Releases of translocated wild or captive-raised woodrats at unoccupied sites in the Shawnee National Forest have been proposed to increase genetic diversity, distribution, and abundance of this species in Illinois (Nawrot 1974, Nawrot and Spitzkeit 1986, Feldhamer 1994, Monty 1997, Illinois Department of Natural Resources 2000). Likely locations include areas near Otter Pond (T11S R3W Section 28) and Section 16 (T11S R3W) in Union County (Nawrot and Spitzkeit 1986), as well as Lusk Creek (T11S R6E Section 28), Indian Kitchen (T11S, R6E, Section 34), and One Horse Gap (T11S R6E Section 34) in Pope County (Feldhamer 1994). Approaches recommended by Feldhamer (1992, 1994) are consistent with the Illinois Endangered Species Protection Board's Policy on Translocation of Endangered and Threatened Animal Species (Appendix I).

No translocations of *N. f. illinoensis* have been reported. Nineteen Key Largo woodrats released in a previously unoccupied area of Lignum Vitae Key, Florida increased to a population of approximately 85 individuals; this effort was considered a success (Brown and Williams 1971, Barbour and Humphrey 1982). Woodrats (*N. magister*) released in Pennsylvania moved to and occupied new dens more often than residents during the first 10 weeks post-release; residents were more transient than introduced woodrats during the second 10 weeks (Corbett and Shinkle 1997). The authors (Corbett and Shinkle 1997) suggested woodrats should be released at least 10 weeks before winter to allow them to establish permanent residences with food caches; they also suggested release sites should occur >28m (>92 ft) apart because this was the minimum distance observed between occupied den sites.

Attempts to re-establish *N. magister* at a site in Ohio failed when only 6 of 42 individuals survived >100 days (Schlie 1985). Schlie (1985) speculated long-distance movements ($\bar{x}$ = 100-615m for various release groups; 328-2,018ft) that resembled dispersal behavior contributed to high mortality and might have been caused by territoriality resulting from high initial densities relative to available habitat (>3.3 woodrats per ha; >1.3 per acre). Lack of suitable crevices, defined as generally narrow (<30 cm; <11.8 in), deep (>10 m; >32.8 ft), multi-chambered, possessing >1 opening, and located along continuous outcrops was also perceived as a problem (Schlie 1985). Gentle release methods allowed woodrats to survive longer ($\bar{x}$ = 61 days) than direct methods ($\bar{x}$ = 13 days) (Schlie 1985). Gentle releases occurred after leaf-out; woodrats were held at release sites for $\geq$7 days in holding cages with food, water, nest material, and nest boxes available; they received supplemental food for >4 weeks after holes were cut in the mesh to allow their escape, and domestic chickens were tethered in the vicinity to distract predators (Schlie 1985).

sampling of biological populations. Wildlife Monographs 72:1-202.

Burroughs, R. D. 1961. The natural history of the Lewis and Clark expedition. Michigan State University Press, East Lansing, Michigan, USA.

Castleberry, N. L. 2000. Conservation and management of the Allegheny woodrat in the central Appalacians. Dissertation, West Virginia University, Morgantown, West Virginia, USA.

Castleberry, S. B., T. L. King, P. B. Wood and W. M. Ford. 2000. Microsatellite DNA markers for the study of Allegheny woodrat (*Neotoma magister*) populations and cross-species amplification in the genus *Neotoma*. Molecular Ecology 9:824-826.

Castleberry, S. B., W. M. Ford, P. B Wood, N. L. Castleberry and M. T. Mengak. 2001. Movements of Allegheny woodrats in relation to timber harvesting. Journal of Wildlife Management 65:148-156.

Castleberry, N. L., S. B. Castleberry, W. M. Ford, P. B. Wood and M. T. Mengak. 2002. Allegheny woodrat (*Neotoma magister*) food habits in the central Appalachians. American Midland Naturalist 147:80-92.

Caughley, G., and A. R. E. Sinclair. 1994. Wildlife ecology and management. Blackwell Scientific Publications, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA.

Clark, B. K., B. S. Clark and D. M. Leslie. 1990. Endangered Ozark big-eared bat eaten by Eastern woodrat. Prairie Naturalist 22:273-274.

Clark, B. K., and B. S. Clark. 1994. Use of caves by eastern woodrats (*Neotoma floridana*) in relation to bat populations, internal cave characteristics, and surface habitats. American Midland Naturalist 131:359-364.

Cudmore, W. W. 1985. The present distribution and status of the eastern woodrat, *Neotoma floridana*, in Indiana. Indiana Academy of Science 94:621-627.

Edwards, C. W., and R. D. Bradley. 2001. Molecular phylogenetics of the *Neotoma floridana* species group. Journal of Mammalogy 82:791-798.

Feldhamer, G. A. 1992. Reintroduction of woodrats to southern Illinois: habitat assessment and feasibility. Unpublished report, U.S. Forest Service, Vienna, Illinois, USA.

Feldhamer, G. A. 1994. Reintroduction of woodrats to southern Illinois: habitat assessment and feasibility. Unpublished final report, U.S. Forest Service, Vienna, Illinois, USA.

Finley, R. B., Jr. 1958. The wood rats of Colorado: distribution and ecology. University of Kansas Publications, Museum of Natural History 10:213-552.

Fitch, H. S., and D. G. Rainey. 1956. Ecological observations on the woodrat, *Neotoma floridana*. University of Kansas Publications, Museum of Natural History 8:499-533.

Goertz, J. W. 1970. An ecological study of *Neotoma floridana* in Oklahoma. Journal of Mammalogy 51:94-104.

Hall. E. R. 1943. Criteria for vertebrate subspecies, species and genera: The mammals. Annals of the New York Academy of Science. 44:141-144.

Hall, E. R. 1955. Handbook of mammals of Kansas. University of Kansas Miscellaneous Publications, Museum of Natural History 7:1-303.

Hall, E. And K. R. Kelson. 1959. The mammals of North America. Ronald Press, New York, New York, USA.

Hall, E. 1981. The mammals of North America. John Wiley & Sons, New York, New York, USA.

Hamilton, W. J. 1953. Reproduction and young of the Florida woodrat, *Neotoma f. floridana* (Ord). Journal of Mammalogy 34:180-189.

Hamm, K. A. 1995. Abundance of dusky-footed woodrats in managed forests of north coastal

variation in woodrats of the eastern United States. Dissertation, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York, USA.

Hayes, J. P., and R. G. Harrison. 1992. Variation in mitochondrial DNA and the biogeographic history of woodrats (*Neotoma*) of the eastern United States. Systematic Biology 41:331-344.

Hayes, J. P., and M. E. Richmond. 1993. Clinal variation and morphology of woodrats (*Neotoma*) of the eastern United States. Journal of Mammalogy 74:204-216.

Hoffmeister, D. F. 1989. Mammals of Illinois. University of Illinois Press, Urbana, Illinois, USA.

Howell, A. H. 1910. Notes on mammals of the Middle Mississippi Valley, with description of a new woodrat. Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington 22:23-33.

Hungerford, L. L., and J. F. Zachary. 1995. Prevalence of zoonotic parasites and diseases among Illinois raccoons. Final Report, Federal Aid in Wildlife Restoration Project W-119-R-3, Illinois Department of Natural Resources, Springfield, Illinois, USA.

Illinois Department of Natural Resources. 2000. Endangered & threatened species - strategic recovery outline, target species: eastern woodrat (*Neotoma floridana*). Unpublished report, Illinois Department of Natural Resources, Springfield, Illinois, USA.

Johnson, S. A., and R. F. Madej. 1993. A 1991-92 survey of recent occurrences of the eastern woodrat in Indiana. Indiana Department of Natural Resources, Bloomington, Indiana, USA.

Johnson, S. A., K. A. Berkley and J. E. Fisher. 1997. 1996 Allegheny woodrat monitoring program. Indiana Division of Fish & Wildlife, Bloomington, Indiana, USA.

Jordan, H. E. P., and F. A. Hayes. 1959. Gastrointestinal helminths in raccoons (*Procyon lotor*) from Ossabaw Island, Georgia. Journal of Parasitology 45:249-252.

Kazacos, K. R., W. L. Wirtz and P. P. Burger. 1981. Raccoon ascarid larvae as a cause of fatal central nervous system disease in subhuman primates. Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association 179:1089-1094.

Kazacos, K. R. 1986. Raccoon ascarids as a cause of larva migrans. Parasitology Today 2:253-255.

Kazacos, K. R., and W. M. Boyce. 1989. *Baylisascari*s larva migrans. Journal of the American Veterinary Association 195:894-903.

Lay, D. W., and R. H. Baker. 1938. Notes on the home range and ecology of the Attwater wood rat. Journal of Mammalogy 19:418-423.

Schwartz, A., and E. P. Odum. 1957. The woodrats of the eastern United States. Journal of Mammalogy 38:197-206.

Schwartz, C. W., and E. R. Schwartz. 1981. The wild mammals of Missouri. University of Missouri Press and Missouri Department of Conservation, Columbia and Lincoln, Missouri, USA.

Schwegman, J. E. 1973. Comprehensive plan for the Illinois nature preserves system. II. The natural divisions of Illinois. Illinois Nature Preserves Commission, Rockford, Illinois, USA.

Snyder, D. E. and P. R. Fitzgerald. 1985. The relationship of *Baylisascaris procyonis* to Illinois raccoons (*Procyon lotor*). Journal of Parasitology 71:596-598.

Snyder, D. E., and P. R. Fitzgerald. 1987. Contamination potential, egg prevalence and intensity of *Baylisascaris procyonis* in infected raccoons from Illinois with a comparison of worm intensity. Proceedings of Helminthological Society of Washington 54:141-145.

Swayne, J. R. 1949. A population survey of small mammals in southwestern Illinois. Thesis, Southern Illinois University, Carbondale, Illinois, USA.

Tate, W. H., Jr. 1970. Movements of Neotoma floridana attwateri in Brazos County, Texas. Thesis, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas, USA.

Thomas, S. C. 2001. Allegheny woodrat monitoring. Final report, Federal Aid Grant E-2, Kentucky oodrats ostatD(a)3( )-10iAlllnfa heCo0(o)-ocas,22(h)Grackfort, Tm [(K)20(e)3(n)19(t)-22(uc)3

Illinois Endangered Species Protection Board, Springfield, Illinois, USA.

Wetzel, R. M. 1947. Additional records of Illinois mammals. Transactions of the Illinois State Academy of Science 40:228-233.

Wiley, R. W. 1971. Activity periods and movements of the eastern woodrat. Southwest Naturalist 16:43-54.

Wilkins, K. T. 1995. The rodent community and associated vegetation in a tallgrass blackland prairie in Texas. Texas Journal of Science 47:243-262.

RECOVERY GOAL, OBJECTIVES AND CRITERIA

by

Robert D. Bluett, George A. Feldhamer, Stephen P. Widowski, Susan E. Lauzon,
Glen W. Kruse, Joseph A. Kath, Scott Ballard, and Jody P. Shimp

Goal: **Insure the long-term viability of the eastern woodrat in Illinois by increasing genetic heterogeneity of extant populations, establishing populations at sites where woodrats occurred historically, monitoring population levels, and managing key habitats.**

Justification:

Recovery of the eastern woodrat is consistent with Objective 1.1.2.3 of the Department's Strategic Plan (Illinois Department of Natural Resources 2002) and it's legislatively mandated responsibility to "take all measures necessary for the conservation, distribution, introduction and restoration of birds and mammals" (520 Illinois Compiled Statutes 5/1.10).

Objective 1: **Reclassify the eastern woodrat from state endangered to state threatened.**

Performance Indicators: Objective 1 is met when a stable or increasing metapopulation of $\geq$50 individuals (fall estimate) is established in an unoccupied part of the woodrat's historical range on the eastern part of the Shawnee Hills Natural Division (i.e., Johnson, Saline, Gallatin, Pope, or Hardin counties) and persisted $\geq$4 years after translocations have ceased.

Justification: Illinois occurs at the northern periphery of the eastern woodrat's range. This species was never distributed widely nor particularly abundant in the state; it occurred mostly along limestone bluffs of the Mississippi River and sandstone outcrops of the Shawnee Hills (Nawrot and Klimstra 1976). Woodrats have persisted at low levels at Pine Hills in Union County for >50 years (Swayne 1949, Layne 1958, Crim 1961, Nawrot 1974, Monty 1997). Recent discovery of individuals at Fountain Bluff, Horseshoe Bluff, Cripps Bend, and Little Grand Canyon in Jackson County (Monty et al. 1995) suggests colonies at Pine Hills and

its satellites probably constitute a stable to increasing metapopulation.

Searches of sites where woodrats occurred historically in the Shawnee Hills failed to document their presence (Feldhamer 1994). Researchers recommended translocating woodrats to ≥1 of these sites to reclaim part of the species' former range and reduce risks of extirpation caused by a fire, tornado, or other catastrophic event at Pine Hills (Feldhamer 1994, Monty 1997).

Criteria: Feldhamer (1992) proposed the following criteria as evidence of a successful translocation: (1) reproduction, (2) new nest construction, (3) survival of some individuals to a normal lifespan of 2-3 years.

Reproduction may be documented by ≥1 of the following:

A) Capture of juvenile woodrats
B) Capture of gravid or lactating females
C) Capture of untagged individuals at sites where releases occur

Population size may be assessed by ≥1 of the following:

A) Minimum number of individuals known alive (determined by mark-recapture)
B) Estimates of population size (determined by mark-recapture)

Lifespan may be determined by ≥1 of the following:

A) Mark-recapture
B) Radiotelemetry

Strategies: Release translocated wild woodrats at ≥1 unoccupied site(s).

Actions:

Task 1. Evaluate accessible sites where Nawrot and Klimstra (1976) found evidence of historical habitation by woodrats.

Detail: Nawrot and Klimstra (1976) identified 24 sites where they found direct evidence (e.g., skeletal remains, scats, abandoned nests) of past habitation by woodrats. All such sites currently owned or managed by public agencies such as U.S. Forest Service, Illinois Department of Natural Resources, or local units of government will be searched for the presence of woodrats and evaluated qualitatively for habitat suitability as described by Feldhamer (1994). Sites that occur on private properties will be evaluated if landowners or tenants grant access.

Task 2. Identify additional sites with suitable habitat.

Detail:

Saline, Pope, Gallatin, and Hardin counties with seemingly suitable habitat but no evidence of past habitation by woodrats. Nawrot (1974) speculated woodrats occurred at some of these sites but artifacts were destroyed by weathering and disturbance. His assessment suggests suitable habitat might be more widespread than sites evaluated under Task 1 of this recovery plan. Digital landscape data and a geographic information system will be used to identify sites with rock bluffs or outcrops and other characteristics of suitable habitat.

Task 3. Classify sites according to their quality and spatial arrangement.

Detail: Individual sites and clusters of sites that appear large enough to sustain a viable metapopulation of $\geq$50 woodrats will be visited and evaluated more closely using methods described by Feldhamer (1994). Results will be combined with those of Task 1 and past studies (Nawrot and Spitzkeit 1986, Feldhamer 1994) to classify areas (a site or group of proximate sites) as excellent, good, fair, or poor candidates for translocations based on their size, relative quality, and spatial arrangement (i.e., proximity to other sites). We will also use landscape-level information to identify possible corridors for linking metapopulations in the Pine Hills and Shawnee Hills.

Task 4.

Performance Indicators: Objective 2 is met when woodrats are translocated successfully to Pine Hills from out-of-state sources or, if funding allows, studies document increases in indices of heterozygosity.

Justification:

sites that promote linkage of populations in the Pine Hills and Shawnee Hills will help to sustain genetic vigor and further improve distribution and abundance of the species.

protocol of approximately 40 traps set for 3 nights per session, we will analyze capture data from Tasks 4-6 to determine the extent to which a 2-night protocol would have underestimated the minimum number of individuals known alive. Results will provide a basis for choosing a 2-night or 3-night protocol for long-term monitoring efforts.

Task 9. Train staff likely to participate in long-term monitoring efforts.

Detail: Staff likely to participate in monitoring efforts should be trained to apply methods according to standard protocols to insure the greatest accuracy and precision of results. Training sessions should also provide instructions on safety because sites occupied by woodrats tend to present several hazards such as loose or falling rocks and venomous snakes.

Task 10. Conduct surveys to monitor changes in the status of woodrats.

Detail: The first surveys conducted by IDNR staff should be synchronized with research activities to provide a smooth transition. Staff should monitor individual sites on a 4-5-year rotation to reduce conflicts with other assignments.

Objective 5: Evaluate and, where possible, implement appropriate habitat management practices.

Performance Indicators: Objective 5 is met when agencies such as the Forest Service and IDNR consider impacts of habitat management policies and practices when planning activities that affect sites where woodrat populations occur on agencies' properties.

Justification: In Illinois, forest acreage dominated by maples has increased >40-fold since 1962 while acreage dominated by oaks has declined 14% (Illinois Department of Energy and Natural Resources and The Nature of Illinois Foundation 1994). This trend, caused mostly by fire suppression and other anthropogenic influences, is likely to affect the long-term quality of habitat occupied by woodrats because they consume mast almost exclusively (61-67%) during all seasons (Wagle and Feldhamer 1997). Silvicultural practices that promo19(e)3(y)3(t)-22(s)8( )19(e)3(l)3-2to1 s0(t)-22BT /F2 12 Tf 0

Criteria:

Castleberry, S. B., W. M. Ford, P. B Wood, N. L. Castleberry and M. T. Mengak. 2001. Movements of Allegheny woodrats in relation to timber harvesting. Journal of Wildlife Management 65:148-156.

Castleberry, N. L., S. B. Castleberry, W. M. Ford, P. B. Wood and M. T. Mengak. 2002. Allegheny woodrat (*Neotoma magister*) food habits in the central Appalachians. American Midland Naturalist 147:80-92.

Crim, J. A. 1961. The habitat of the woodrat in southern Illinois. Thesis, Southern Illinois University, Carbondale, Illinois, USA.

Feldhamer, G. A. 1992. Reintroduction of woodrats to southern Illinois: habitat assessment and feasibility. Unpublished report, U.S. Forest Service, Vienna, Illinois, USA.

Feldhamer, G. A. 1994. Reintroduction of woodrats to southern Illinois: habitat assessment and feasibility. Unpublished final report, U.S. Forest Service, Vienna, Illinois, USA.

Illinois Department of Energy and Natural Resources and The Nature of Illinois Foundation. 1994. The changing Illinois environment: critical trends. Summary report of the Critical Trends Assessment Project. Illinois Department of Energy and Natural Resources, Springfield, Illinois, USA.

Illinois Department of Natural Resources. 2002. IDNR strategic plan 2003-08. Illinois Department of Natural Resources, Springfield, Illinois, USA.

Johnson, S. A., K. A. Berkley and J. E. Fisher. 1997. 1996 Allegheny woodrat monitoring program. Indiana Division of Fish & Wildlife, Bloomington, Indiana, USA.

Layne, J. N. 1955. The Illinois woodrat. The Living Museum 17(8):59-60.

Leberg, P. L. 1990. Genetic considerations in the design of introduction programs. Transactions of the North American Wildlife and Natural Resources Conference 55:609-619.

Monty, A-M., E. R. Wagle, R. E. Emerson and G. A. Feldhamer. 1995. Recently discovered populations of eastern woodrats (*Neotoma floridana*) in southern Illinois. Transactions of the Illinois State Academy of Science 88:43-47.

Monty, A-M. 1997. The eastern woodrat (*Neotoma floridana*) in southern Illinois: population assessment and genetic variation. Dissertation, Southern Illinois University, Carbondale, Illinois, USA.

Nawrot, J. 1974. The southern Illinois woodrat: an endangered species. Thesis, Southern Illinois University, Carbondale, Illinois, USA.

Nawrot, J. R., and J. D. Klimstra. 1976. Present and past distribution of the endangered southern Illinois woodrat (*Neotoma floridana illinoensis*). Natural History Miscellanea, The Chicago Academy of Sciences, Chicago, Illinois, USA.

Nawrot, J. R., and J. Spitzkeit. 1986. Eastern woodrat recovery - phase I: habitat protection and population enhancement. Final Report, Cooperative Wildlife Research Laboratory, Southern Illinois University, Carbondale, Illinois, USA.

Ralls, K., J. D. Ballou and A. Templeton. 1988. Estimates of lethal equivalents and the cost of inbreeding in mammals. Conservation Biology 2:185-193.

Swayne, J. R. 1949. A population survey of small mammals in southwestern Illinois. Thesis, Southern Illinois University, Carbondale, Illinois, USA.

Templeton, A. R., and B. Read. 1983. The elimination of inbreeding depression in a captive population of Spekes's gazelle. Pages 241-262 *in* C. M. Schonewald-Cox, S. M Chambers, B. MacBryde and L. Thomas, editors. Genetics and conservation: a reference for managing wild animal and plant populations. Benjamin & Cummings, Menlo Park, California, USA.

Wagle, E. R. 1996. Population assessment and feeding habits of the eastern woodrat (*Neotoma floridana*) in southern Illinois. Thesis, Southern Illinois University, Carbondale, Illinois, USA.

Wagle, E. R., and G. A. Feldhamer. 1997. Feeding habits of the eastern woodrat (*Neotoma floridana*) in southern Illinois. Transactions of the Illinois State Academy of Science 90:171-177.

Appendix I. Compliance of proposed actions with the Illinois Endangered Species Protection Board's draft policy on translocation of endangered and threatened animal species.

BASIC CRITERIA

Habitat suitable for the species in both quality and quantity is present in the area of the proposed translocation

Nawrot and Klimstra (1976) found direct evidence of past habitation by woodrats (e.g., skeletal remains, scats, houses) at 24 unoccupied sites in Jackson, Union, Johnson, Pope, Gallatin, and

Forest Service will be required for capture and translocation of woodrats at Pine Hills; we will apply for this permit before work is scheduled to begin in spring of 2003.

If a State or Federal recovery plan for this species has been developed, the translocation is compatible with the goals, objectives, and methods of the recovery plan

No Federal recovery plan exists for this species. Translocation is specified by tasks 5 (Translocate woodrats to suitable but unoccupied habitats; identify successful, efficient protocols for releases), 6 (Release 10-15 woodrats per year for 2-3 years at Pine Hills) and 7 (Release wild woodrats at >2 unoccupied sites that occur in likely corridors between the Pine Hills and Shawnee Hills) of the State recovery plan.

The translocation plan includes recommended methods and procedures for monitoring and management of released individuals

Methods will generally follow those proposed by Feldhamer (1992):

1. Obtaining individuals to reintroduce

a) employ radiotelemetry only as needed to satisfy specific study objectives
b) use mark-recapture to determine minimum number of individuals known alive; information needs during early phases of recovery will likely be greater than those required for a long-term monitoring program

6. Criteria to evaluate "success"

a) occurrences of new, juvenile individuals as evidence of reproduction
b) new nest construction as evidenced by fresh cuttings, droppings and trails
c) survival for normal life span of 2-3 years
d) ultimately, a reproductively viable, increasing population on the site 5-10 years after introduction

7. Extrinsic factors beyond management control

a) extreme cold winters following introduction
b) predation
c) widespread mast failure caused by epidemic diseases or parasites (e.g., gypsy moth infestation)

Regional population trends of the species

N. f. illinoensis is classified as a state endangered species in Illinois, a species of concern in South Carolina and a species in need of management in Tennessee; elsewhere its status is unknown or considered secure (Monty and Feldhamer 2002, Britzke 1998).

Available sources of animals to be imported

N. f. illinoensis occurs in extreme southern Illinois, southern Missouri, western Kentucky and Tennessee, eastern Arkansas, most of Mississippi and Alabama, and the panhandle of Florida (Schwartz and Odum 1957). State agencies in Missouri and Arkansas have agreed verbally to allow us to capture woodrats in their jurisdictions.

Status of remaining suitable habitat

Most sites with historical records of woodrats are secure because they are managed by public agencies such as the U.S. Forest Service, Illinois Department of Natural Resources or local governments (e.g., City of Carbondale). Replacement of oak-hickory forests with shade-tolerant dominant species such as maple and beech might reduce long-term habitat suitability because

Appendix II.. Proposed time schedule for tasks specified by the eastern woodrat recovery plan.

Task	FY03	FY04	FY05	FY06	FY07	FY08 & beyond
Evaluate accessible sites where Nawrot and Klimstra (1976) found evidence of historical habitation by woodrats.	✗					
Identify additional sites with suitable habitat.	✗	✗				
Classify sites according to their quality and spatial arrangement.		✗				
Translocate woodrats to suitable but unoccupied habitats; identify successful, efficient protocols for releases.	✗	✗	✗			
Release 10-15 woodrats per year for 2-3 years at Pine Hills.		✗	✗			
Release wild woodrats at >2 unoccupied sites that occur in likely corridors between the Pine Hills and Shawnee Hills.				✗	✗	
Identify efficient protocols for monitoring abundance.	✗	✗	✗	✗		
Train staff likely to participate in long-term monitoring efforts.					✗	
Conduct surveys to monitor changes in the status of woodrats.					✗	✗
Evaluate responses of woodrats to selected						